

Docket No.: 1131-0544PUS1

(PATENT)

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of: Shusei TAKANO et al.

Application No.: 10/551,644

Filed: September 29, 2005 Art Unit: 1731

For: NICOTINE INHALATION PIPE AND

NICOTINE HOLDER

Examiner: Not Yet Assigned

Confirmation No.: 5443

### **LETTER**

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Further to the Information Disclosure Statement filed on September 29, 2005, attached hereto is an English translation of the International Preliminary Examination Report on Patentability (Chapter I) issued by the International Bureau of WIPO. The prior art references in the Examination Report were all previously cited on September 29, 2005. The attached translation is being filed for the Examiner's convenience, and fulfils the requirement for a concise explanation of the relevance of this non-English language document set forth in MPEP 609.04(a)(III).

It is respectfully requested that the Examiner initial the PTO/SB/08 form submitted with the Information Disclosure Statement on September 29, 2005 and return the same to the undersigned, to confirm his consideration of all documents cited therein.

No fee is required.

If the Examiner has any questions concerning this submission he/she is requested to contact the undersigned.

Application No.: 10/551,644 Docket No.: 1131-0544PUS1

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to our Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 or under § 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Dated: July 5, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

James M. Slattery

Registration No.: 28,380

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

8110 Gatehouse Road

Suite 100 East P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

Attorney for Applicant

Attachment(s)	,
---------------	---

	PTO-SB08
	Documents
	Foreign Search Report
	Fee
$\overline{\nabla}$	Other: English translation of the on of the International Prelimianry Examination Repor

2 JMS/ljr

To:

#### From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

30 March 2004 (30.03.2004)

## **PCT**

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL
OF COPIES OF TRANSLATION
OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT
ON PATENTABILITY
(CHAPTER I OR CHAPTER II
OF THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY)

(PCT Rules 44bis.3(c) and 72.2)

NAGATO, Kanji 5F, SKK Bldg. 8-1, Shinbashi 5-chome Minato-ku Tokyo, 1050004

**JAPON** 



Date of mailing (day/month/year)
09 March 2006 (09.03.2006)

Applicant's or agent's file reference
FPHH952PC

International application No.

International filing date (day/month/year)

Applicant

PCT/JP2004/004528

TAKANO, Shusei et al

1. Transmittal of the translation to the applicant.

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the English translation of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I).

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the English translation of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter II).

2. Transmittal of the copy of the translation to the designated or elected Offices.

The International Bureau notifies the applicant that copies of that translation have been transmitted to the following designated or elected Offices requiring such translation:

None

The following designated or elected Offices, having waived the requirement for such a transmittal at this time, will receive copies of that translation from the International Bureau only upon their request:

AE, AG, AL, AM, AP, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EA, EC, EE, EG, EP, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NA, NI, NO, NZ, OA, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW

3. Reminder regarding translation into (one of) the official language(s) of the elected Office(s).

The applicant is reminded that, where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter II).

It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned within the applicable time limit (Rule 74.1). See Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide for further details.

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Authorized officer

Yoshiko Kuwahara

Facsimile No.+41 22 338 90 90

Facsimile No.+41 22 740 14 35

## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

# **PCT**

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference FPHH952PC	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below	
International application No. PCT/JP2004/004528	International filing date (day/month/year) 30 March 2004 (30.03.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 01 April 2003 (01.04.2003)	
International Patent Classification (8t See relevant information in Form I	h edition unless older edition indicated) PCT/ISA/237		
Applicant TAKANO, Shusei			

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis. 1(a).						
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.  In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.						
3.	3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:						
	Box No. I	Basis of the report					
	Box No. II	Priority					
	Box No. III  Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability						
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention	1				
	Box No. V  Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited					
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the inter	rnational application				
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on th	e international application				
4.	4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis .2).						
			Date of issuance of this report 02 March 2006 (02.03.2006)				
	The International Bure		Authorized officer				
	34, chemin des Co 1211 Geneva 20, S		Yoshiko Kuwahara				
Facei	mile No. : 41 22 740 14 25		Telephone No. 141 22 338 90 90				

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNA	ATION	NAL SEARCHI	NG AUTHOR	ITY			Tan.	
Го:		•					PCT PCT	
							RITTEN OPINION OF THE IONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY	
						(PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)		
					Date of mailing (day/month/year)  FOR FURTHER ACTION  See paragraph 2 below			
Applicant'	-	gent's file referen	ce					
PCT/	International application No.  PCT/JP2004/004528  International filing date 30.03.2004				:		Priority date (day/month/year) 01.04.2003	
Internation	International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC							
Applicant TAKA	NO,	Shusei			-			
1. 7	This o	ninian contains is	diagiona sala	ing to the following item	=			
, ,				-	15.			
	$\stackrel{\triangle}{\dashv}$	Box No. I	Basis of the	opinion				
	╡	Box No. II	Priority					
	╡	Box No. III		· ·	gar	rd to novelty, inventi	ve step and industrial applicability	
	$\boxtimes$	Box No. IV Box No. V	Reasoned sta	y of invention stement under Rule 43bis citations and explanation	is. I(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial ons supporting such statement			
Г	٦	Box No. VI	Certain docu					
		Box No. VII		cts in the international ap				
		Box No. VIII		rvations on the internation	•			
						••		
1: 1: 1:	f a denta nterna han th	itional Preliminar his one to be the l	y Examining A IPEA and the	Authority ("IPEA") excep	pt t d th	hat this does not appl	be considered to be a written opinion of the ly where the applicant chooses an Authority other au under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of	
v	vritten	reply together,	where approp		, be	efore the expiration	, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form expires later.	
F	or fur	ther options, see	Form PCT/IS/	V220.				
3. F	3. For further details, see notes to Form РСТ/ISA/220.							
Name and	mailir	ng address of the	ISA/JP		7/	Authorized officer		
Facsimile 1	No.				7	Γelephone No.		

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/004528

Box	No. I	Basis of this opinion
1.	With filed	regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under
	-	Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed attion, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a.	type of material
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b.	format of material
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	c.	time of filing/furnishing
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Addit	ional comments:
		·

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/004528

Bo	x No. V	Reasoned stateme citations and expla	nt under Ru anations suj	ule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; pporting such statement	
1.	Statement				
	Novelty	(N)	Claims	2-7, 9-11	YES
			Claims	1, 8	NO
	Inventive	e step (IS)	Claims		YES
			Claims	1-11	NO
	Industria	ıl applicability (IA)	Claims	1-11	YES
			Claims		NO

2. Citations and explanations:

The following documents are cited in the ISR:

Document 1: JP, 2-171174, A (Buruga Zene AG Buruku), 2 July, 1990 (02.07.90)

Document 2: JP, 2002-34547, A (Kenichi Mori, Riki Fujiwara), 5 February, 2002 (05.02.02)

Document 3: JP, 11-164679, A (Japan Tobacco Inc.), 22 June, 1999 (22.06.99)

Document 4: JP, 11-178562, A (Japan Tobacco Inc.), 6 July, 1999 (06.07.99)

Document 5: Microfilm of the specification and drawings annexed to the written application of Japanese Utility Model Application No. 45621/1989 (Laid-open No. 138597/1990), (Japan Pionics Co., Ltd.), 19 November, 1990 (19.11.90)

Document 6: Microfilm of the specification and drawings annexed to the written application of Japanese Utility Model Application No. 63491/1986 (Laid-open No. 175896/1987), (Earth Chemical Co., Ltd.), 9 November, 1987 (09.11.87)

#### Claim 1

It is considered that document 1 contains (a) a carrier structure that corresponds to a liquidabsorbing body impregnated with a nicotine preparation, (b) a nicotine suction path in the axial direction of a casing, and (c) a mouth piece shown in the drawings. Accordingly, the subject matter of claim 1 does not appear to be novel or to involve an inventive step in view of document 1.

#### Claim 2

A member that corresponds to an inner tube provided with a narrow hole is recognized in Fig. 6 of document 2. Accordingly, the subject matter of claim 2 does not appear to involve an inventive step in view of documents 1 and 2.

#### Claim 3

Fig. 3 of document 3 shows a molded body 32 that is a carrier of a material to be sucked, is in a cylindrical form, and has a plurality of passages in the axial direction. Accordingly, the subject matter of claim 3 does not appear to involve an inventive step in view of documents 1 and 3.

#### Claim 4

It is considered that, in Fig. 2 of document 4, there is a passage in the axial direction between the outer face of a bar 8, which is a carrier of a material to be sucked, and an outer wrapper. Accordingly, the subject matter of claim 4 does not appear to involve an inventive step in view of documents 1, 3 and 4.

#### Claim 5

Document 5 (see the specification, page 3, line 19 through page 4, line 4) shows a feature

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/004528

Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

wherein a carrier of a material to be sucked is formed of porous particles. Accordingly, the subject matter of claim 5 does not appear to involve an inventive step in view of documents 1 and 5.

#### Claim 6

Document 6 (see the specification, page 9, line 19, etc.) shows a feature wherein silica gel is adopted as a carrier. Accordingly, the subject matter of claim 6 does not appear to involve an inventive step in view of documents 1, 5 and 6.

#### Claim 7

Document 7 (see paragraph [0043]) suggests a feature wherein a suction-tool main body 1 that corresponds to an outer tube is made of a transparent resin. Accordingly, the subject matter of claim 7 does not appear to involve an inventive step in view of documents 1, 2, 5 and 6.

#### Claim 8

The subject matter of claim 8 does not appear to be novel or to involve an inventive step in view of document 1 for the same reason as described for claim 1 above.

#### Claim 9

The subject matter of claim 9 does not appear to involve an inventive step in view of documents 1 and 5 for the same reason as described for claim 5 above.

#### Claim 10

The subject matter of claim 10 does not appear to involve an inventive step in view of documents 1, 5 and 6 for the same reason as described for claim 6 above.

#### Claim 11

The subject matter of claim 11 does not appear to involve an inventive step in view of documents 1, 2, 5 and 6 for the same reason as described for claim 7 above.